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## Expenditures on Current Safety Net



## US WTO Proposal Would Require Spending Cuts

- Should cuts be made in existing programs?
- Lower loan rates, effective target prices, proportions of production eligible for support
- Should we redesign the US safety net to
- meet WTO and budget objectives
- improve the effectiveness of existing program


## De-Trended Wheat Yields in Steele County



## Season-Average Wheat Prices in North Dakota



What Safety Net Did Steele County Wheat Producers Choose in 2005?


Average Per-Acre Revenue in Steele County


## Does a Yield Safety Net Make Sense?

- High yield, low price: No payment but cash receipts likely to be down
- Low yield, high price: Payment received, but it will be excessive because of high market price
- High yield, high price: No payment needed and no payment received *******
- Low yield, low price: Payment received, but no compensation for low price


## Does a Price Safety Net Make Sense?

- High yield, low price: Payment received, but payment will be excessive
- Low yield, high price: No payment received, but cash receipts will likely be down for some farmers
- High yield, high price: No payment needed and no payment received *******
- Low yield, low price: Payment received, but no compensation for low yields


## Does a Revenue Safety Net Make

 Sense?- High yield, low price: Payment received if revenue is below target revenue *******
- Low yield, high price: Payment received if revenue is below target revenue *******
- High yield, high price: No payment needed and no payment received $* * * * * * *$
- Low yield, low price: Payment received, full compensation to target revenue *******


## What About a Cost Safety Net?

- Most production costs are under control of the producer
- A safety net that compensates a producer for controllable actions would induce behavior oriented towards increasing payoff
- Same reason why we need a significant deductible in crop insurance


## Design of a "Green Box" Income Support Program for Steele County Wheat Producers
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## Design of a "Green Box" Income Support Program



## What Value is a $70 \%$ Guarantee?

- Just like an APH yield, the guarantee will be set at the farm level using seasonaverage prices.
- Value of a $70 \%$ guarantee much greater at the farm level than at the county level.
- Individual guarantee at the $70 \%$ level provides about equivalent loan collateral to what producers are obtaining now with crop insurance.

Average Payment to Steele County Wheat Producers under a "Green Box" Income Insurance Program


## Green Box Support Would Arrive when Steele County Wheat

 Farmers Need It

## New Amber and Blue Box Programs

- Amber Box
- Define target county revenue as the product of expected county yield and a target price
- Define actual county revenue as the product of county average yield and national season-average price
- Payments flow when actual county revenue is less than amber coverage level times target county revenue guarantee
- Maximum payments reached when actual county revenue falls below 70\% of target county revenue
- Payments made on actual farmer-planted acreage


## New Amber and Blue Box Programs

- Blue Box
- Payments flow when actual county revenue is less than blue coverage level times target county revenue
- Maximum payments reached when actual county revenue falls below the target county revenue times the amber coverage level
- Payments made on fixed base acreage

Y\% of Effective Target Price X Expected County Yield

Z\% of Effective Target Price X Expected County Yield

70\% of Effective Target Price X Expected County Yield

Guarantee $=70 \%$ of past 5-year average of crop-specific income

## How Much Safety Under U.S. Proposal?

- Problem: Maximize sum of amber and blue coverage subject to spending limits on amber and blue box under the U.S. proposal
- Use 1980-2004 data
- Amber box limit of $\$ 7.64$ billion
- Blue Box limit of $\$ 5.75$ billion
- Dairy gets $\$ 750$ million of amber box and $\$ 500$ million of blue box
- Sugar gets $\$ 300$ million of amber box and $\$ 250$ million of blue box
- Account for crop specific amber box limits


## What Prices to Use?

- Effective Target Prices for 2002 Farm Bill

Wheat - \$3.40/bu
Soy - \$5.36/bu
Peanuts - \$0.2295/lb
Cotton - \$0.6573/lb
Grain sorghum - \$2.22/bu

Corn - \$2.35/bu
Oats - \$1.416/bu
Barley - \$2.00/bu
Rice - $\$ 8.15$

## Maximum Safety Levels

- $85 \%$ amber box coverage level for all crops and counties
- crop specific limits start binding
- 95\% blue box coverage level
- aggregate limit begins to bind


## Effect on Steele County Wheat Revenue from New Amber and Blue Box Proposals
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## County Revenue Under Current Programs and New Amber and Blue Box Proposals


-- with Amber and Blue
$\rightarrow$ with Current Programs

## A Comparison of the Timing of Payments



## Are these Programs Trade Distorting?

- Most distorting program is the Green Box program because it pays off on farm-level production.
- But there is a $30 \%$ deductible
- Next most distorting program is the Amber Box coverage because it pays off on actual planted acreage
- But farmer cannot influence per-acre payments because county average yields are used to determine payment
- High coverage level of Blue Box may induce planting
- But payments based on fixed acreage and county-average yields
- Money is saved because season-average price is used
- Could adopt a recourse loan program for harvest cash flow reasons


## Impact of Proposed Programs

- Provides effective safety net within WTO limits as proposed by the U.S.
- Consolidates crop insurance, commodity programs, and disaster aid
- Adopts the target (revenue) that farmers prefer
- Would be a departure from 70 years of supporting prices

