The Farm Bill

Title I – Commodity programs
Title II – Conservation
Title III – Trade
Title IV – Nutrition
Title V – Credit
Title VI – Rural Development
Title VII – Research
Title VIII – Forestry
Title IX – Energy
Title X - Miscellaneous
Why Do U.S. Farm Subsidies Persist?

• No “public good” purpose to farm programs:
  - Most studies show that aggregate U.S. production would not much change with program elimination.
  - The most wealthy farmers and land owners receive the largest proportion of aid so no efficient poverty elimination.
  - Food security a function of income, not food quantity.
  - Farm programs do little to foster rural development.
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My conclusion about persistence

• Who wrote 2002 Farm Bill?
  – Larry Combest and Tom Harkin (with a lot of help from Tom Daschle). Cotton and rice; corn and soybeans, and wheat.

• Which U.S. crops are the least competitive?
  – Cotton, rice, sugar.

❖ Political deal: Corn Belt and Great Plains senators will keep foreign competition away from the Southern commodities sugar cane, cotton and rice, in exchange for large subsidies to corn, soybeans, and wheat. Sugar beets in a few states garners a few key votes. Classic logrolling with historical momentum.
Private Benefits of Conservation-Title Programs

• **Productivity benefits**
  – Reduce soil erosion rates
  – Enhance productivity of fertilizer/pesticide applications

• **Farmer incomes**
  – EQIP funds to help livestock producers pay for improved manure management
  – Restrictions on ability to concentrate funds geographically
Possible Public Benefits of Conservation-Title Programs

• Enhanced water quality
  – Better drinking water
  – Improved swimming/fishing opportunities

• Enhanced wildlife
  – Birds and mammals to look at and hear
  – Other birds and mammals to shoot

• Faster income growth in rural areas?
New European Ag Policy

• Farmers that agree to manage their farms “correctly” qualify for a direct payment
  – Environment
  – Animal welfare
  – Hygiene standards
  – Preservation of the countryside
Countryside Preservation

• EU Philosophy: A rural area that is pleasant to visit will attract more visitors.
• EU is beginning a move to use rural areas to both produce food and to produce tourism services.
• Farmers are used to manage their farms to make rural areas more attractive places to visit.
Applicability to Iowa?

- What does rural Iowa have to attract visitors?
  - Hunters, bird watchers, large rivers, prairie, Loess Hills
- No natural tourist links to food industry
- Large commodity farms not conducive to visitors
- Poor water quality not conducive to tourism
- Indirect links to tourists
  - Cleaner water may enhance tourist use of waters
  - Less farmed land may enhance wildlife and prairie

EU model not directly applicable to Iowa
Benefits of Farm-Bill Conservation Programs

• Private
  – Higher on-farm productivity
  – Higher farm income

• Public
  – Cleaner water
  – Enhanced wildlife habitat
Why Focus on Private vs. Public Benefits?

• Private benefits drive politics, which ultimately determines policies

• Public benefits justify taxpayer support
  – Public benefits, by definition, are underprovided by the private sector because private enterprise cannot earn profits by their provision
  – Private benefits can be paid for by the private sector
Conservation vs. Commodity Programs: Which Will Win in Next Farm Bill?

• Hypothesis: A more urban Congress will eliminate commodity payments in favor of conservation programs.
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Conservation vs. Commodity Programs: Which Will Win in Next Farm Bill?

• Myth: A more urban Congress will eliminate commodity payments in favor of conservation programs.
  – What stake does an L.A. County resident have in Iowa conservation?
  – What was the first thing cut when Congress needed to pay for farm disaster spending in 2004?
    • Conservation Security Program
Key to Enhancing Conservation in the Next Farm Bill

• Continue to link public benefits of conservation to private benefits
  – More efficient nutrient and pesticide use will lead to improved water quality
  – CRP, WRP, GRP rental income enhances water and wildlife

• Insist on greater conservation compliance as only public benefit from commodity programs