Multiple Environmental Externalities Of Conservation Tillage: Empirical Assessment of Practice And Performance Based Targeting



#### Luba Kurkalova, Catherine Kling, and Jinhua Zhao

Center for Agricultural and Rural Development

Iowa State University

Presented at 2<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of Environmental and Resource Economics, Monterey, CA

June 24-27, 2002

### **Research questions**

- If a policy that targets conservation tillage is implemented, how much less environmental benefits are obtained than if the benefits were targeted?
- If only one environmental benefits is targeted, what are the associated other environmental benefits?

### **Data and models**

- Data: Some13,000 NRI points located in Iowa
- Benefits: Physical processes simulation model EPIC
  - Carbon sequestration
  - Soil erosion
  - Nitrogen runoff
- <u>Costs:</u> Model of conservation tillage adoption
  - Econometrically estimated
  - Predicts subsidy needed for adoption

### Model of conservation tillage adoption

Traditional approach

 $\Pr[adopt] = \Pr[\pi_1 \ge \pi_0 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}\varepsilon] = \Pr[\pi_1 - \pi_0 \ge \sigma_{\varepsilon}\varepsilon]$ 

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\delta x}{\delta} \ge \sigma_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon\right]$$
$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\delta}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \ge \varepsilon\right]$$

Approach of Pautsch, Kurkalova, Babcock, Kling (CEP, 2001)

 $\Pr[adopt] = \Pr[\pi_1 \ge \pi_0 + \sigma_{\varepsilon}\varepsilon] = \Pr[\pi_1 - \pi_0 \ge \sigma_{\varepsilon}\varepsilon]$  $= \Pr[\beta x - \pi_0 \ge \sigma_{\varepsilon}\varepsilon]$  $= \Pr\left[\frac{\beta}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}x - \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}\pi_0 \ge \varepsilon\right]$ 

# Model of conservation tillage adoption (continued)

 $\Pr[adopt] = \Pr[\pi_1 \ge \pi_0 + \mathbf{P} + \sigma_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon]$ 

$$= \Pr\left[\beta x \ge \pi_0 + \alpha \sigma_{\text{profit}} + \sigma_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left[\frac{\beta x}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} - \frac{\pi_{0}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} - \frac{\alpha \sigma_{profit}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \ge \varepsilon\right]$$

# Practice and performance based targeting, same budget, \$5.7 M

#### **Target conservation tillage**







## Fraction of maximum possible benefits obtainable under conservation tillage targeting



# Fraction of maximum possible benefits obtainable under carbon targeting



### Conclusions

The proposed methodology allows for comparison of alternative benefit targeting schemes

Targeting conservation tillage provides high fractions of the maximum possible amounts of the 3 environmental benefits in lowa

Targeting a single benefit is estimated to provide high fractions of other associated benefits